



Practitioner's Docket No. MSU 4.1-546

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Donald Penner, Christy L. Sprague and
Richard F. Burow
Application No.: 0 9/777,320 Group No.: 1616
Filed: February 6, 2001 Examiner: Alton N. Pryor
For: COMPOSITIONS AND METHODS FOR PROTECTING CULTIVATED
PLANTS FROM HERBICIDAL INJURY

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

PATENT

TECH CENTER 1800/2900

MAR 10 2003

RECEIVED

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application.

STATUS

2. Applicant is

- ☐ a small entity. A statement:
☐ is attached.
☐ was already filed.
☒ other than a small entity.

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10*

(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory;
Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

- ☒ deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231

37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)

37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *

- ☒ with sufficient postage as first class mail.

☐ as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"

Mailing Label No. _____ (mandatory)

TRANSMISSION

- ☐ facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, (703) _____

Tammi L. Taylor

Signature

Date: 2/26/03

Tammi L. Taylor

(type or print name of person certifying)

* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]—page 1 of 4)

EXTENSION OF TERM

NOTE: "Extension of Time in Patent Cases (Supplement Amendments) — If a timely and complete response has been filed after a Non-Final Office Action, an extension of time is not required to permit filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period.

If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35).

NOTE: See 37 C.F.R. § 1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings, and 37 C.F.R. § 1.550(c) for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b) ". . . an applicant shall be deemed to have failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of an application for the cumulative total of any periods of time in excess of three months that are taken to reply to any notice or action by the Office making any rejection, objection, argument, or other request, measuring such three-month period from the date the notice or action was mailed or given to the applicant, in which case the period of adjustment set forth in § 1.703 shall be reduced by the number of days, if any, beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date of mailing or transmission of the Office communication notifying the applicant of the rejection, objection, argument, or other request and ending on the date the reply was filed. The period, or shortened statutory period, for reply that is set in the Office action or notice has no effect on the three-month period set forth in this paragraph."

3. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 apply.

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

- (a) ☒ Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1)-(4) for the total number of months checked below:

Extension (months)	Fee for other than small entity	Fee for small entity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> one month	\$ 110.00	\$ 55.00
<input type="checkbox"/> two months	\$ 400.00	\$ 200.00
<input type="checkbox"/> three months	\$ 920.00	\$ 460.00
<input type="checkbox"/> four months	\$ 1,440.00	\$ 720.00

Fee: \$ 110.00

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

- ☐ An extension for _____ months has already been secured. The fee paid therefor of \$ _____ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.

Extension fee due with this request \$ 110.00

OR

- (b) ☐ Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this is a conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

FEE FOR CLAIMS

4. The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. § 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:

(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)		(Col. 3)		SMALL ENTITY		OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY	
CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NO PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR		PRESENT EXTRA		RATE		ADDIT. FEE	
								OR	
								RATE	
								ADDIT. FEE	
TOTAL	* 27	MINUS	** 86	=	-0-	x\$9=	\$ 0.00	x\$18=	\$
INDEP.	* 5	MINUS	*** 24	=	-0-	x\$42=	\$ 0.00	x\$84=	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM						+\$140= \$		+\$280= \$	
						TOTAL ADDIT. FEE \$ 0.00		OR TOTAL ADDIT. FEE \$	

* If the entry in Col. 1 is less than entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3.

** If the "Highest No. Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20."

*** If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter "3."

The "Highest No. Previously Paid For" (Total or indep.) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment or the number of claims originally filed.

WARNING: "After final rejection or action (§ 1.113) amendments may be made cancelling claims or complying with any requirement of form which has been made." 37 C.F.R. § 1.116(a) (emphasis added).

(complete (c) or (d), as applicable)

(c) ☒ No additional fee for claims is required.

OR

(d) ☐ Total additional fee for claims required \$ _____

FEE PAYMENT

☒ Attached is a ☒ check ☐ money order in the amount of \$ 110.00

☐ Authorization is hereby made to charge the amount of \$ _____

☐ to Deposit Account No. _____

☐ to Credit card as shown on the attached credit card information authorization form PTO-2038.

WARNING: Credit card information should not be included on this form as it may become public.

☐ Charge any additional fees required by this paper or credit any overpayment in the manner authorized above.

A duplicate of this paper is attached.

FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, (1065 O.G. 31-33).

6. ☒ If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account
No. 13-0610

AND/OR

- ☒ If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account
No. 13-0610

Reg. No.: 20,931

Tel. No.: (517) 347-4100

Customer No.: 21036


SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Ian C. McLeod
(type or print name of practitioner)

2190 Commons Parkway
P.O. Address

Okemos, Michigan 48864



MSU 4.546
2/24/03

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

MAR 10 2003

RECEIVED

P. TUCK
14/E
3/17/03

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Donald Penner, Christy L. Sprague and
Richard F. Burow

Serial No.: 09/777,320 Group Art Unit: 1616

Filing Date: 2001 February 6

For : COMPOSITIONS AND METHODS FOR PROTECTING
CULTIVATED PLANTS FROM HERBICIDAL INJURY

Examiner : Alton N. Pryor

Box Amendment

Assistant Commissioner For Patents

Washington, D. C. 20231

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

In response to the Office Action mailed October
30, 2002, the Applicants amend and remark as follows:

In the Claims

Cancel non-elected Claims 5, 6, 15-17, 29-31,
36-39, 57-65 and 71-108.

Cancel Claim 54.

Please replace Claims 1, 24, 25 and 109 with
the following Claims.

03/06/2003 SDENBOB1 00000123 09777320

01 FC:1251

110.00 OP